

**Petition for Writ of Mandamus Denied and Memorandum Opinion filed
March 24, 2016.**



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-16-00129-CR

IN RE BRETT DAVID BOGUS, Relator

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING

WRIT OF MANDAMUS

176th District Court

Harris County, Texas

Trial Court Cause No. 1433472

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On February 18, 2016, relator Brett David Bogus filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus in this court. *See* Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 22.221 (West 2004); *see also* Tex. R. App. P. 52. In the petition, relator asks this court to compel the Honorable Stacey W. Bond, presiding judge of the 176th District Court of Harris County, to, among other things, transfer to relator and the court of appeals various records that are allegedly needed for his appeal in Cause No. 14-15-00832-CR.

The Harris County records show that the trial court has appointed counsel to represent relator in these matters. A criminal defendant is not entitled to hybrid representation. *Robinson v. State*, 240 S.W.3d 919, 922 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007); *Patrick v. State*, 906 S.W.2d 481, 498 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995). The absence of a right to hybrid representation means that a relator's pro se mandamus petition should be treated as presenting nothing for this court's review. *See Gray v. Shipley*, 877 S.W.2d 806 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1994, orig. proceeding); *In re Harrison*, 14-15-00370-CV, 2015 WL 5935816, at *2 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] Oct. 13, 2015, orig. proceeding).

Accordingly, we deny relator's petition for writ of mandamus.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Jamison, Donovan, and Brown.
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).