

**Petition for Writ of Mandamus Denied and Memorandum Opinion filed
March 24, 2016.**



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NOS. 14-16-00200-CR and 14-16-00201-CR

IN RE BRETT DAVID BOGUS, Relator

**ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
WRIT OF MANDAMUS
176th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 1399452 and 1433472**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On March 10, 2016, relator Brett David Bogus filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus in this court. *See* Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 22.221 (West 2004); *see also* Tex. R. App. P. 52. In the petition, relator asks this court to compel the Honorable Stacey W. Bond, presiding judge of the 176th District Court of Harris

County, to grant him various relief, including appointing counsel to represent him in his appeal, Cause No. 14-15-00832-CR.

The Harris County records show that the trial court has now appointed counsel to represent relator in these matters. His request for the appointment of counsel is therefore moot. Further, a criminal defendant is not entitled to hybrid representation. *Robinson v. State*, 240 S.W.3d 919, 922 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007); *Patrick v. State*, 906 S.W.2d 481, 498 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995). The absence of a right to hybrid representation means that a relator's pro se mandamus petition should be treated as presenting nothing for this court's review. *See Gray v. Shipley*, 877 S.W.2d 806 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1994, orig. proceeding); *In re Harrison*, 14-15-00370-CV, 2015 WL 5935816, at *2 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] Oct. 13, 2015, orig. proceeding).

Accordingly, we deny relator's petition for writ of mandamus.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Jamison, Donovan, and Brown.
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).