

Petition for Writ of Mandamus Denied and Memorandum Opinion filed May 3, 2016.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-16-00320-CV

IN RE EDWARD ROY NEWSOME, Relator

**ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
WRIT OF MANDAMUS
234th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 2005-06163**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On April 19, 2016, relator Edward Roy Newsome filed a petition for writ of mandamus in this court *See* Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 22.221 (West 2004); *see also* Tex. R. App. P. 52.

In the petition, relator refers to a prior case from this court. *See Newsome v. St. Luke's Hosp.*, 2007 WL 1558759, No. 14-06-01149-CV (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] May 31, 2007, no pet.) (mem. op.). To be entitled to mandamus relief, a relator must demonstrate (1) the trial court clearly abused its discretion; and (2) the relator has no adequate remedy by appeal. *In re Reece*, 341 S.W.3d 360, 364 (Tex. 2011) (orig. proceeding). Relator does not ask this court to compel any action by the trial court, but appears to be relitigating his suit against St. Luke's Hospital and requesting discovery.

It is relator's burden to bring a record showing that he is entitled to relief. *See Walker v. Packer*, 827 S.W.2d 833, 839 (Tex. 1992) (orig. proceeding). Relator has failed to do so. Relator has not provided any order about which he is complaining or any documents in support of his petition. *See Tex. R. App. P. 52.3(k), 52.7(a)*.

Relator has not established that he is entitled to mandamus relief. Accordingly, we deny relator's petition for writ of mandamus.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Frost and Justices McCally and Brown.