

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed April 20, 2017.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

**NO. 14-16-00176-CR
NO. 14-16-00177-CR
NO. 14-16-00178-CR**

JASSAN RASHAAD HUGHES, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 174th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 1413584, 1392119, and 1413583**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant Jassan Hughes appeals his convictions for robbery and aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon. Tex. Pen. Code Ann. §§ 29.02, 29.03 (West 2011). Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the records and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See*

High v. State, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel’s brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of his rights to view the record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). As of this date, more than 60 days have passed, and no pro se response has been filed.

We have reviewed the records and counsel’s brief carefully and agree the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the records. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgments of the trial court are affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Christopher, Busby, and Jewell.
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).