

**Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed May 18, 2017.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-16-00485-CR**

---

**JORDAN LANE KITTERLIN, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 174th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 1459702**

---

**M E M O R A N D U M    O P I N I O N**

Appellant Jordan Lane Kitterlin appeals his conviction for aggravated robbery. Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 29.03 (West 2011). Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

Copies of counsel's brief and the appellate record were delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of his right to file a pro se response to the brief. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). As of this date, more than 60 days have passed and no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Boyce, Donovan, and Jewell.  
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).