

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed November 30, 2017.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-16-00627-CR

FRANK MARINERO, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 338th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 1473241**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant appeals his conviction for murder. Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirement of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by assigning issues that might arguably support the appeal, and explaining why those issues do not raise arguable error. *See Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137, 138 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). At appellant's request, the record was provided to him. On May 26, 2017, appellant filed a pro se response to counsel's brief.

We have carefully reviewed the record, counsel's brief, and appellant's response, and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Frost and Justices Busby and Wise.

Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).