

**Amended Petition for Writ of Mandamus Denied and Substituted  
Memorandum Opinion filed May 11, 2017.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-17-00323-CV**

---

**IN RE GHP NAIL SYSTEMS, LLC D/B/A HAUTE POLISH, Relator**

---

**ORIGINAL PROCEEDING  
WRIT OF MANDAMUS  
234th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 2016-19641**

---

**SUBSTITUED MEMORANDUM OPINION**

On May 2, 2017, we issued a memorandum opinion denying relator GHP Nail Systems, LLC d/b/a Haute Polish's petition for writ of mandamus because the petition did not comply with certain Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. Shortly thereafter, relator filed an amended petition for writ of mandamus that largely

cured relator's non-compliance. On our own initiative, we withdraw our previous memorandum opinion of May 2, 2017, and issue this substituted memorandum opinion in its place.

In the amended petition, relator asks this court to compel the Honorable Wesley Ward, presiding judge of the 234th District Court of Harris County, to vacate his March 14, 2017 Protective Order and sign the Protective Order proposed by relator.

Generally, to obtain mandamus relief, a relator must show that the trial court clearly abused its discretion. *In re Prudential Ins. Co.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding). Because relator has not established that it is entitled to mandamus relief, we deny relator's amended petition for writ of mandamus.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Christopher, Busby, and Jewell.