

Dismissed and Memorandum Opinion filed November 14, 2017



In The
Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-17-00731-CV

NATALYA READ, Appellant

V.

STEPHEN SIBO, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 127th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 2016-01772**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

This is an attempted accelerated appeal from an order signed June 8, 2017, granting partial summary judgment in favor of plaintiff/appellee Stephen Sibo. Generally, appeals may be taken only from final judgments. *Lehmann v. Har Con Corp.*, 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001). When orders do not dispose of all pending parties and claims, the orders remain interlocutory and unappealable until final judgment is rendered unless a statutory exception applies. *Bally Total Fitness Corp. v. Jackson*, 53 S.W.3d 352, 352 (Tex. 2001); *Jack B. Anglin Co., Inc. v. Tipps*, 842

S.W.2d 266, 272 (Tex. 1992) (orig. proceeding).

On October 10, 2017, notification was transmitted to the parties of this court's intention to dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction unless appellant filed, by October 23, 2017, a response demonstrating grounds for continuing the appeal. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a). Appellant filed a brief on October 18, 2017, that states in relevant part:

STATEMENT OF APPEALABILITY

The judgment entered pursuant to District Court order granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is an appealable interlocutory judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 51.014.

Section 51.014(a) authorizes an interlocutory appeal from thirteen types of orders. Neither appellant's brief nor the record in this case indicates that the order at issue here corresponds to one of the appealable interlocutory orders identified in section 51.014(a).

The appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Boyce, Jamison, and Brown.