

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed April 26, 2018.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-17-00620-CR

IRVIN AUTREY Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 185th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 1418132**

M E M O R A N D U M O P I N I O N

Appellant Irvin Autrey pleaded guilty without a sentencing recommendation to aggravated assault, a second-degree felony. Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 22.02(b). He appeals the trial court's order deferring an adjudication of guilt and placing him on community supervision for five years. Tex. Code Crim. Proc. Ann. art. 42A.102(b).

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the

record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of his right to inspect the appellate record and file a pro se response to the brief. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). As of this date, more than 60 days have passed and no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

Accordingly, the trial court's order of deferred adjudication is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Boyce, Donovan, and Wise.
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).