

**Petition for Writ of Mandamus Denied and Memorandum Opinion filed
October 10, 2019.**



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-19-00625-CV

IN RE WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC, Relator

**ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
WRIT OF MANDAMUS
157th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 2018-05210**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On August 14, 2019, relator Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC (“Walmart”) filed a petition for writ of mandamus in this court. *See* Tex. Gov’t Code Ann. § 22.221 (Supp.); *see also* Tex. R. App. P. 52. The real party-in-interest is Betsy Blount. In the petition, Walmart asks this court to compel the Honorable Tayna Garrison, presiding judge of the 157th District Court of Harris County, to vacate the part of

her June 26, 2019 order, which grants Blount’s motion to compel and overrules Walmart’s objections to Fourth Request for Production No. 1.

Relator’s motion for leave to supplement the mandamus record is granted. *See In re Thetford*, 574 S.W.3d 362, 365 (Tex. 2019) (orig. proceeding).

With certain exceptions not applicable here, to obtain mandamus relief, a relator must show both that the trial court clearly abused its discretion and that the relator has no adequate remedy at law, such as an appeal. *In re Garza*, 544 S.W.3d 836, 840 (Tex. 2018) (orig. proceeding) (per curiam); *In re Prudential Ins. Co. of Am.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding).

Because Walmart has not shown it is entitled to mandamus relief, we deny its petition for writ of mandamus.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Christopher, Spain, and Poissant.