## Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed November 4, 2021.



#### In The

# Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-21-00025-CR

### MARCUS DURAN CARSWELL, Appellant

V.

## THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 25th District Court Colorado County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 17-090-CR

#### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant appeals his conviction for aggravated assault with a deadly weapon. Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 512 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). At appellant's request, the record was provided to him. As of this date, more than 60 days have passed and no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief [and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. We are not to address the merits of each claim raised in an *Anders* brief or a pro se response when we have determined there are no arguable grounds for review. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

The judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

#### PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Wise, Bourliot and Zimmerer.

Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).