

**Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed November 4, 2021.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-21-00349-CV**

---

**IN THE INTEREST OF L.A.F.S., J.E.S., JR., AND J.J.S., CHILDREN**

---

**On Appeal from the 308th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 2019-59876**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant, C.M., appeals a final decree signed June 2, 2021, terminating her parental rights to the children who are the subjects of this suit. Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. See *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811–13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). The *Anders*

procedures are applicable to an appeal from the termination of parental rights when an appointed attorney concludes that there are no non-frivolous issues to assert on appeal. *In re D.E.S.*, 135 S.W.3d 326, 329 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2004, no pet.).

On August 27, 2021, a copy of the record and counsel’s brief were delivered to appellant and appellant was notified of the right to file a pro se response. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *In re D.E.S.*, 135 S.W.3d at 329–30. More than twenty-five days have elapsed and as of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel’s brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

/s/ Jerry Zimmerer  
Justice

Panel consists of Chief Justice Christopher and Justices Zimmerer and Wilson.