

**Petitions for Writs of Mandamus Dismissed and Memorandum Opinion filed  
August 18, 2022.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-22-00074-CR**

**NO. 14-22-00075-CR**

**NO. 14-22-00076-CR**

---

**IN RE TIMOTHY CORY OWENS, Relator**

---

---

**ORIGINAL PROCEEDING**

**WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

**179th District Court**

**Harris County, Texas**

**Trial Court Cause Nos. 493913, 1556870 & 1557058**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

On February 4, 2022, relator Timothy Cory Owens filed petitions for writs of mandamus in this Court. *See* Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 22.221; *see also* Tex. R. App. P. 52. In the petitions, relator asks this Court to compel the Honorable Ana Martinez, presiding judge of the 179th District Court of Harris County, to rule on his motions to receive the clerk's record on a loan basis.

We sent relator notice that his petitions did not comply with Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure 52.3(k)(1)(A) and 52.7(a). Tex. R. App. P. 52.3(k)(1)(A) (“The appendix *must* contain: (A) a certified or sworn copy of any order complained of, or any other document showing the matter complained of”) (emphasis added); 52.7(a) (“Relator *must* file with the petition: (1) a certified or sworn copy of every document that is material to the relator’s claim for relief and that was filed in any underlying proceeding; and (2) a properly authenticated transcript of any relevant testimony from any underlying proceeding, including any exhibits offered in evidence, or a statement that no testimony was adduced in connection with the matter complained.”) (emphasis added). We further gave relator notice that the petitions would be dismissed unless amended petitions were filed on or before August 8, 2022 that addressed the record issues discussed above. *See In re Kholaiif*, 624 S.W.3d 228, 231 (order), *mand. disp’d*, 615 S.W.3d 369 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2020) (orig. proceeding).

Relator has not filed amended petitions. Accordingly, we dismiss relator’s petitions for writs of mandamus. *See id.*; *see also In re Hughes*, 607 S.W.3d 136, 137–38 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2020, no pet.) (dismissing mandamus petition in criminal case due to noncompliance with Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure 52.3 and 52.7(a)).

#### PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Christopher and Justices Bourliot and Spain.

Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).