

**Petition for Writ of Mandamus Denied and Memorandum Opinion filed March 29, 2022.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-22-00117-CR  
NO. 14-22-00118-CR  
NO. 14-22-00119-CR  
NO. 14-22-00120-CR  
NO. 14-22-00121-CR**

---

**IN RE DANIEL HOSKINS, Relator**

---

---

**ORIGINAL PROCEEDING  
WRIT OF MANDAMUS  
178th District Court  
Harris County, Texas**

**Trial Court Cause Nos. 1618216, 1628507, 1628513, 1628514 & 1705847**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

On February 24, 2022, relator Daniel Hoskins filed petitions for writs of mandamus in this court. *See* Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 22.221; *see also* Tex. R. App. P. 52. In the petitions, relator asks this court to compel the Honorable Kelli Johnson,

presiding judge of the 178th District Court of Harris County, to hold a hearing and grant his motion for discovery and inspection of evidence.

Relator is represented by counsel. A defendant is not entitled to hybrid representation, and, as a consequence, a trial court is free to disregard any pro se motions presented by a defendant who is represented by counsel. *Jenkins v. State*, 592 S.W.3d 894, 902 n.47 (Tex. Crim. App. 2018). Moreover, in the absence of a right to hybrid representation, relator's pro se petitions for writs of mandamus present nothing for this court's review. *See Patrick v. State*, 906 S.W.3d 481, 498 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995); *Turner v. State*, 805 S.W.2d 423, 425 n.1 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991).

Relator has failed to establish that he is entitled to mandamus relief. Accordingly, we deny relator's petitions for writs of mandamus.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Christopher and Justices Bourliot and Spain.  
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).