

**Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed June 16, 2022.**



**In The**  
**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-22-00151-CV**

---

**IN THE INTEREST OF M.E.F.-C. AND J.A.F.-C., JR. A/K/A J.C., JR.,  
CHILDREN**

---

**On Appeal from the 313th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 2017-02619J**

---

**M E M O R A N D U M   O P I N I O N**

Appellant, D.E.H., appeals a final decree signed February 17, 2022, terminating her parental rights to the two children who are the subject of this suit.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811-13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). The *Anders* procedures apply to an appeal from the termination of parental rights

when an appointed attorney concludes there are no non-frivolous issues to assert on appeal. *In re D.E.S.*, 135 S.W.3d 326, 329 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2004, no pet.).

On April 27, 2022, appellant was notified of the right to file a pro se response to the *Anders* brief. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 510 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *In re D.E.S.*, 135 S.W.3d at 329-30. More than thirty days have elapsed and as of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Christopher and Justices Wise and Jewell.