

Affirmed and Memorandum Opinion filed August 31, 2023.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-23-00354-CV

IN THE INTEREST OF J.A.W., K.K.H., O.R.H., CHILDREN

**On Appeal from the 313th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 2022-00643J**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant, W.S.S., appeals a final decree, signed May 2, 2023, terminating her parental rights to the children who are the subject of this suit. Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes the appeal is wholly without merit. Counsel contends that there is not a non-frivolous ground to challenge the trial court's decree terminating Appellant's parental rights to the children because there is legally and factually sufficient evidence to support at least one predicate ground for termination, including endangerment under subsection (E), and that termination is in the children's best interest.

The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See In re D.E.S.*, 135 S.W.3d 326, 329 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2004, no pet.) (*Anders* procedures apply to an appeal from termination of parental rights). This court and Appellant’s counsel notified Appellant that counsel filed an *Anders* brief, and this court informed her about how to obtain a copy of the record and her right to file a pro se response. *See id.* at 329-30. No pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel’s brief and agree that there is not a non-frivolous ground to challenge the trial court’s judgment terminating Appellant’s parental rights because the evidence is legally and factually sufficient to support the trial court’s best interest finding and the finding that Appellant engaged in conduct that endangered the physical or emotional well-being of the children under section 161.001(b)(1)(E) of the Family Code. *See Tex. Fam. Code Ann. § 161.001(b)(1)(E), (b)(2)*. Counsel thoroughly analyzed the sufficiency of the evidence supporting the trial court’s findings. We find no reversible error in the record. A detailed discussion of this issue would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state. *See In re D.E.S.*, 135 S.W.3d at 330.

Accordingly, the trial court’s judgment is affirmed.

/s/ Meagan Hassan
Justice

Panel consists of Chief Justice Christopher and Justices Bourliot and Hassan.