

**Petition for Writ of Mandamus Denied and Memorandum Opinion filed  
August 1, 2023.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-23-00496-CR**

---

**IN RE ELENDER LOUISA ARMOUR, Relator**

---

---

**ORIGINAL PROCEEDING  
WRIT OF MANDAMUS  
339th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 1584279**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

On July 17, 2023, relator Elender Louisa Armour, acting pro se, filed a petition for writ of mandamus in this Court. *See* Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 22.221; *see also* Tex. R. App. P. 52. In the petition, relator asks this Court to compel the Honorable Teiva Bell, presiding judge of the 339th District Court of Harris

County, to “follow all the laws regarding the referral of cases and a timely filed Objection to an Associate Judge and cause her case to be placed on her docket in a public courtroom with a court reporter and electronic recording to preserve the record for appeal and to protect the Relator’s rights from being infringed.”

A review of the appendix attached to relator’s petition for writ of mandamus establishes that in the underlying case, the trial court has entered an “Order Appointing Counsel,” representing that relator is indigent and providing her with court-appointed counsel.

A criminal defendant is not entitled to hybrid representation. *See Patrick v. State*, 906 S.W.2d 481, 498 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995). The absence of a right to hybrid representation also means that a relator’s pro se mandamus petition should be treated as presenting nothing for this court’s review. *Id.*; *see also In re Greer*, 14–22–00672–CR, 2023 WL 4361248, at \*2 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] July 6, 2023, orig. proceeding).

Relator has not established that she is entitled to mandamus relief. Accordingly, we deny relator’s petition for writ of mandamus.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Jewell, Hassan, and Wilson.  
Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b).