Petition for Writ of Mandamus Denied and Memorandum Majority and Dissenting Opinions filed August 29, 2023.



In The **Hourteenth Court of Appeals**

NO. 14-23-00603-CV

IN RE RAMEL BLUE, Relator

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
WRIT OF MANDAMUS
387th District Court
Fort Bend County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 22-DCV-298913

MEMORANDUM DISSENTING OPINION

Persisting in my view that our duty as judges is to reach a decision on the merits, based on a proper record, and that due process and due course of law require that this court give notice when the original-proceeding record does not comply with the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure, I would give relator ten-days' notice of involuntary dismissal for failure to comply with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 52.7(a) requiring: (1) a certified or sworn copy of every document that is material to the relator's claim for relief and that was filed in any underlying proceeding and (2) a properly authenticated transcript of any relevant testimony from any underlying proceeding, including any exhibits offered in

evidence, or a statement that no testimony was adduced in connection with the matter complained. Tex. R. App. P. 52.7(a); see In re Kholaif, 624 S.W.3d 228, 231 (order), mand. dism'd, 615 S.W.3d 369 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2020, no pet.) (orig. proceeding); see also Tex. R. 52.3(k)(1) (necessary contents of petition); Tex. Civ. Prac. & Crim. Code Ann. § 132.001 (authorizing unsworn declarations).

I dissent from the court's failure to provide notice and an opportunity to cure. I would not rule on the motion for temporary relief at this time. I express no opinion on the merits of the petition for a writ of mandamus.

/s/ Charles A. Spain Justice

Panel consists of Chief Justice Christopher and Justices Jewell and Spain (Spain, J., dissenting).