Court Of Appeals

Town of Appeals District of Texas

\*



## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

No. 04-09-00588-CR

Gary Ace **AVANT**, Appellant

v.

The **STATE** of Texas, Appellee

From the 399th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas Trial Court No. 2008-CR-1308 Honorable Juanita A. Vasquez-Gardner, Judge Presiding

## PER CURIAM

Sitting: Catherine Stone, Chief Justice

Karen A. Angelini, Justice Marialyn Barnard, Justice

Delivered and Filed: October 7, 2009

## **DISMISSED**

On August 9, 2009, appellant Gary Ace Avant filed a notice of appeal stating he was appealing "within thirty (30) days of sentence having been imposed against Defendant on 11 day of July 2009 in said cause." However, the clerk's record does not contain a judgment or other appealable order of the trial court in this cause. Rather, the clerk's record contains a State's motion to dismiss this cause, which was signed by the trial court on June 11, 2009. The motion shows the

State requested a dismissal because appellant "was convicted in another case or count," specifically cause number 2008-CR-8981. Thus, it appeared to this court that we had no jurisdiction in this matter.

In light of the foregoing, we ordered appellant to file a response showing why the appeal should not be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(c). In response, appellant's appointed appellate counsel filed a response stating "there is no final conviction in this case, and therefore no jurisdiction for this Court to consider the Appellant's purported appeal." Let a response showing why the appeal appeal appeal appeal appears to file a response showing why the appears appears to file a response showing why the appears appears to file a response showing why the appears appears appears to file a response showing why the appears appears to file a response showing why the appears appears to file a response showing why the appears appears to file a response showing why the appears appears to file a response stating the file and appears to file a response stating the file and appears to file a response stating the file appears to file appears to file a response stating the file appears to file a response stating the file appears to file appea

Accordingly, because there is no appealable judgment or order in this cause, we dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction. *Id.* R. 42.3(a).

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH

Appellant notes there is an inconsistency in the clerk's record in that the "Certificate of Notice of Appeal to the Fourth Court of Appeals," which was filed by the district clerk, indicates appellant was convicted in this matter. We agree with appellant that this certificate is incorrect – appellant was not convicted in this cause of any offense.