

Court Of Appeals
Fourth Court of Appeals District of Texas
San Antonio



MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-10-00210-CR

IN RE Jason BONEBRAKE

Original Mandamus Proceeding¹

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Sandee Bryan Marion, Justice
Phylis J. Speedlin, Justice
Steven C. Hilbig, Justice

Delivered and Filed: March 31, 2010

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS DENIED

On March 5, 2010, relator Jason Bonebrake filed a petition for writ of mandamus, seeking relief from this court regarding jail time credit.² However, relator has the burden of providing this court with a record sufficient to establish a right to relief. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.7(a) (“Relator must file with the petition [] a certified or sworn copy of every document that is material to the relator’s claim for relief and that was filed in any underlying proceeding”); *see also* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.3(k)(1)(A). Here, relator has not provided this court with a copy of any documents to support his

¹[\[a\]](#) This proceeding arises out of Cause No. 2009-CR-10450, styled *State of Texas v. Jason Bonebrake*, in the 187th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas, the Honorable Raymond Angelini presiding.

²[\[a\]](#) Relator seeks relief from this court in the form of a petition for writ of habeas corpus and/or petition for writ of mandamus. This court has no original habeas corpus jurisdiction. *Watson v. State*, 96 S.W.3d 497, 500 (Tex. App.—Amarillo 2002, pet. ref’d); *Dodson v. State*, 988 S.W.2d 833, 835 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1999, no pet.). Based on the relief relator seeks, we construe the petition as a petition for writ of mandamus.

claim for relief from this court. Based on the foregoing, we conclude that relator has not shown himself entitled to relief. Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is denied. TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a).

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH