



MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-11-00669-CR

Billy Paul **WILLIAMS**, Appellant

v.

The **STATE** of Texas, Appellee

From the 437th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas Trial Court No. 2002CR3792 Honorable Lori I. Valenzuela, Judge Presiding

Opinion by: Marialyn Barnard, Justice

Sitting: Catherine Stone, Chief Justice

Sandee Bryan Marion, Justice Marialyn Barnard, Justice

Delivered and Filed: July 11, 2012

MOTION TO WITHDRAW GRANTED; AFFIRMED

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Billy Paul Williams pleaded nolo contendere to intoxication assault. In accordance with the plea agreement, the trial court found Williams guilty and sentenced him to eight years confinement. However, the trial court suspended the sentence and placed Williams on community supervision for eight years.

The State filed a motion to revoke community supervision, alleging Williams violated several conditions of his probation. At the hearing on the State's motion, Williams pled true to

the State's claims that he: (1) committed theft in violation of condition one, and (2) failed to report to the supervision officer in violation of condition five. The State waived its claims regarding the additional violations originally alleged.

After hearing argument from Williams and the State, the trial court granted the State's motion to revoke, revoked Williams's community supervision, and assessed a prison term of eight years. Williams filed a pro se notice of appeal.

Williams's court-appointed appellate attorney filed a motion to withdraw and a brief in which he raises no arguable points of error and concludes this appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978), and *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). Counsel provided proof Williams was given a copy of the brief and motion to withdraw and was informed of his right to review the record and file his own brief. Williams has not filed a brief.

After reviewing the record and counsel's brief, we find no reversible error and agree with counsel the appeal is wholly frivolous. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). We therefore grant the motion to withdraw filed by Williams's counsel and affirm the trial court's judgment. *See id.*; *Nichols v. State*, 954 S.W.2d 83, 86 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1997, no pet.); *Bruns v. State*, 924 S.W.2d 176, 177 n.1 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1996, no pet.).

No substitute counsel will be appointed. Should Williams wish to seek further review of this case in the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, he must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review or file a *pro se* petition for discretionary review. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed within thirty days after either the day our judgment is

04-11-00669-CR

rendered or the day the last timely motion for rehearing or timely motion for en banc reconsideration is overruled by this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.2. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed with the clerk of the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. *See id.* R. 68.3. Any petition for discretionary review must comply with the requirements of Rule 68.4 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See id.* R. 68.4.

Marialyn Barnard, Justice

DO NOT PUBLISH