

Fourth Court of Appeals San Antonio, Texas

MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-13-00627-CR

IN RE Hector ANAYA

Original Mandamus Proceeding¹

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Sandee Bryan Marion, Justice Patricia O. Alvarez, Justice Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

Delivered and Filed: October 2, 2013

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS DENIED

Relator Hector Anaya filed this pro se petition for writ of mandamus on September 16, 2013, complaining of the trial court's denial of his constitutional right to a speedy trial. Relator has appointed trial counsel to represent him in connection with his pending criminal charges. We conclude that any original proceeding on the issue presented should be presented by relator's trial counsel. Relator is not entitled to hybrid representation. *See Patrick v. State*, 906 S.W.2d 481, 498 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995). The absence of a right to hybrid representation means relator's pro se mandamus petition will be treated as presenting nothing for this court's review. *See id.*; *see also Gray v. Shipley*, 877 S.W.2d 806, 806 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1994, orig. proceeding). Accordingly, relator's petition for writ of mandamus is denied. *See* TEX. R. App. P. 52.8(a).

¹ This proceeding arises out of Cause No. 2011CR8324, styled *The State of Texas v. Hector Anaya*, pending in the 227th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas, the Honorable Philip A. Kazen, Jr. presiding.

In addition, relator filed an Application for Leave to File Petition for Writ of Mandamus. No leave is required to file a petition for writ of mandamus in this court. TEX. R. APP. P. 52. Therefore, relator's motion for leave to file is denied as moot.

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH