



Fourth Court of Appeals
San Antonio, Texas

MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-13-00846-CR

Xavier T. **SATTIEWHITE**,
Appellant

v.

The **STATE** of Texas,
Appellee

From the 399th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas
Trial Court No. 2013CR10118W
Honorable Ray Olivarri, Judge Presiding

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Catherine Stone, Chief Justice
Patricia O. Alvarez, Justice
Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

Delivered and Filed: January 8, 2014

DISMISSED

The trial court's certification in this appeal states that "this criminal case is a plea-bargain case, and the defendant has NO right of appeal." The clerk's record contains a written plea bargain, and the punishment assessed did not exceed the punishment recommended by the prosecutor and agreed to by the defendant; therefore, the trial court's certification accurately reflects that the underlying case is a plea-bargain case. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(a)(2).

Under Rule 25.2(d), this “appeal must be dismissed if a certification that shows the defendant has a right of appeal has not been made part of the record under these rules.” *Id.* R. 25.2(d).

On December 12, 2013, we notified Appellant that this appeal would be dismissed under Rule 25.2(d) unless an amended trial court certification showing that Appellant has the right of appeal was made part of the appellate record by January 13, 2014. *See id.* R. 25.2(d), 37.1; *see also Dears v. State*, 154 S.W.3d 610, 613 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005); *Daniels v. State*, 110 S.W.3d 174, 176 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2003, no pet.).

On December 18, 2013, Appellant’s court-appointed counsel from the Bexar County Appellate Public Defender’s Office filed a response stating that he had reviewed the record, and he could “find no right of appeal for Appellant.” He concluded that this court “has no choice but to dismiss the appeal.”

Given the record and Appellant’s response, Rule 25.2(d) requires this court to dismiss this appeal. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH