

## Fourth Court of Appeals San Antonio, Texas

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-17-00321-CR, No. 04-17-00322-CR, No. 04-17-00323-CR, No. 04-17-00324-CR

Logan Trey **FIELD**, Appellant

v.

The **STATE** of Texas, Appellee

From the 216th Judicial District Court, Kerr County, Texas Trial Court Nos. A16292, A16293, A16294, A16295 Honorable N. Keith Williams, Judge Presiding

Opinion by: Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

Sitting: Karen Angelini, Justice

Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

Irene Rios, Justice

Delivered and Filed: September 12, 2018

## MOTION TO WITHDRAW GRANTED, AFFIRMED

Logan Trey Field appeals multiple convictions for possession of a controlled substance. In the trial court, Field pled guilty pursuant to plea bargain. The trial court convicted Field and imposed the sentences in accordance with Field's plea agreement with the State. Field timely filed a notice of appeal. The trial court found Field was indigent and appointed him appellate counsel.

Field's appointed appellate counsel has filed in this court a motion to withdraw and a brief contending that there are no arguable issues and these appeals are frivolous and without merit. The brief demonstrates a professional and thorough evaluation of the records and meets the

requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978), and *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). Counsel sent copies of the brief and motion to withdraw to Field and informed him of his rights in compliance with the requirements of *Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313 (2014). Although Field was advised of his right to review the appellate records and file a pro se brief, he did not file a brief.

We have thoroughly reviewed the records and counsel's brief, and we conclude the records present no arguable grounds for appellate review and that the appeals are frivolous. We therefore grant counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm the trial court's judgments of conviction.<sup>1</sup>

Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

DO NOT PUBLISH

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No substitute counsel will be appointed. Should Field wish to seek further review of this case by the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, he must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review or file a pro se petition for discretionary review. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed within thirty days after either this court's judgment is rendered or the last timely motion for rehearing or motion for en banc reconsideration is overruled by this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.2(a). Any petition for discretionary review must be filed with the clerk of the Court of Criminal Appeals. *See id.* R. 68.3(a). Any petition for discretionary review must comply with the requirements of rule 68.4 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See id.* R. 68.4.