



**Fourth Court of Appeals**  
**San Antonio, Texas**

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

No. 04-18-00166-CR

Christopher **BRADY**,  
Appellant

v.

The **STATE** of Texas,  
Appellee

From the 227th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas  
Trial Court No. NM367258  
Honorable Kevin M. O’Connell, Judge Presiding

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Rebeca C. Martinez, Justice  
Patricia O. Alvarez, Justice  
Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

Delivered and Filed: May 23, 2018

DISMISSED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION

On March 14, 2018, appellant filed a “Motion for Appointment of Counsel on Appeal” which we may construe as a notice of appeal. *See Harkcom v. State*, 484 S.W.3d 432, 434 (Tex. Crim. App. 2016). It appears, however, from the documents contained in the clerk’s record that the case has not yet proceeded to trial and judgment.

As a general rule, an appellate court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal by a criminal defendant only after a final judgment of conviction. *Workman v. State*, 170 Tex. Crim. 621, 343 S.W.2d 446, 447 (1961); *Wright v. State*, 969 S.W.2d 588, 589 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1998, no pet.);

*McKown v. State*, 915 S.W.2d 160, 161 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1996, no pet.); *Ex parte Culver*, 932 S.W.2d 207, 210 (Tex. App.—El Paso 1996, pet ref'd) (appellate courts generally only have jurisdiction to consider an appeal by a criminal defendant where there has been a judgment of conviction).

We therefore ordered appellant to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. No response has been filed. This appeal is therefore dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a).

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH