



Fourth Court of Appeals
San Antonio, Texas

MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-18-00569-CR

Jamie Alysa **VRAZEL**,
Appellant

v.

The **STATE** of Texas,
Appellee

From the 290th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas
Trial Court No. 2018CR2634
Honorable Melisa Skinner, Judge Presiding

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Sandee Bryan Marion, Chief Justice
Karen Angelini, Justice
Irene Rios, Justice

Delivered and Filed: October 24, 2018

DISMISSED

Pursuant to a plea-bargain agreement, appellant pleaded *nolo contendere* to possession of a controlled substance in an amount less than one gram, and the trial court placed appellant on deferred adjudication for a period of three years. On June 26, 2018, the trial court signed an amended certification of defendant's right to appeal stating that this "is a plea-bargain case, and the defendant has NO right of appeal." See TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(a)(2). After appellant filed a notice of appeal, the trial court clerk sent copies of the certification and notice of appeal to this

court. *See id.* 25.2(e). The clerk's record, which includes the trial court's Rule 25.2(a)(2) certification, has been filed. *See id.* 25.2(d).

“In a plea bargain case ... a defendant may appeal only: (A) those matters that were raised by written motion filed and ruled on before trial, or (B) after getting the trial court's permission to appeal.” *Id.* 25.2(a)(2). The clerk's record, which contains a written plea bargain, establishes the punishment assessed by the court does not exceed the punishment recommended by the prosecutor and agreed to by the defendant. *See id.* The clerk's record does not include a written motion filed and ruled upon before trial; nor does it indicate that the trial court gave its permission to appeal. *See id.* The trial court's certification, therefore, appears to accurately reflect that this is a plea-bargain case and that appellant does not have a right to appeal. We must dismiss an appeal “if a certification that shows the defendant has the right of appeal has not been made part of the record.” *Id.* 25.2(d).

We, therefore, warned Vrazel that this appeal would be dismissed pursuant to Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 25.2(d), unless an amended trial court certification showing that he had the right to appeal was made part of the appellate record. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(d), 37.1; *Daniels v. State*, 110 S.W.3d 174 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 2003, order). No such amended trial court certification has been filed. Rather, Vrazel's appointed counsel has filed a response acknowledging Vrazel does not have a right to appeal. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal pursuant to Rule 25.2(d).

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH