

Fourth Court of Appeals San Antonio, Texas

MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-18-00816-CR

Modesto Celestino **GRANGER**, Appellant

v.

The **STATE** of Texas, Appellee

From the 186th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas
Trial Court No. 2017CR9790
Honorable Jefferson Moore, Judge Presiding

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Patricia O. Alvarez, Justice

Luz Elena D. Chapa, Justice

Irene Rios, Justice

Delivered and Filed: December 5, 2018

DISMISSED FOR WANT OF JURISDICTION

On September 11, 2017, in trial court cause number 2017-CR-9790, Appellant was indicted on two counts: count one was for possession with intent to deliver, and count two was for possession of, a controlled substance, namely heroin, in an amount of 400 grams or more.

On October 2, 2018, in trial court cause number 2017-CR-2940, Appellant was convicted of aggravated robbery and sentenced to confinement for thirty-five years.

Citing Appellant's conviction and sentence in trial court cause number 2017-CR-2940, the State moved to dismiss cause number 2017-CR-9790. The trial court granted the State's motion.

04-18-00816-CR

Appellant filed a pro se notice of appeal for trial court cause number 2017-CR-9790, which

is this appeal, numbered 04-18-00816-CR. Appellant appears to be appealing the trial court's

order dismissing trial court cause number 2017-CR-9790.

"With certain exceptions not implicated here, this court has jurisdiction to consider an

appeal filed by a criminal defendant only after a final judgment of conviction." Zamarripa v. State,

No. 04-16-00274-CR, 2016 WL 3085932, at *1 (Tex. App.—San Antonio June 1, 2016, no pet.)

(mem. op., not designated for publication) (citing TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. ANN. art. 44.02); accord

McKown v. State, 915 S.W.2d 160, 161 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1996, no pet.) ("Generally, we

only have jurisdiction to consider an appeal by a criminal defendant where there has been a

judgment of conviction.").

We ordered Appellant to cause a trial court certification to be filed in this court showing

that Appellant has the right of appeal. A supplemental clerk's record was filed containing the trial

court's certification of defendant's right of appeal. The certificate states that the "motion to

dismiss [was] granted [and] there is nothing to appeal."

The record shows there is no final judgment of conviction to review; this court's

jurisdiction has not been invoked. See Workman v. State, 343 S.W.2d 446, 447 (Tex. Crim. App.

1961); McKown, 915 S.W.2d at 161. We dismiss this appeal for want of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

DO NOT PUBLISH

- 2 -