



Fourth Court of Appeals
San Antonio, Texas

MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-20-00427-CV

IN RE Gustavo **GARCIA-SILLER**, Archbishop of the Archdiocese of San Antonio, and Acting on Behalf of the Archdiocese of San Antonio, and Anita Valencia, as Independent Administrator of the Estate of Virgilio Elizondo

Original Mandamus Proceeding¹

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Rebeca C. Martinez, Justice
Beth Watkins, Justice
Liza A. Rodriguez, Justice

Delivered and Filed: November 12, 2020

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS DENIED

On September 23, 2020, we issued a memorandum opinion and order in this original mandamus proceeding. On our own motion, we withdraw our earlier opinion and order and substitute this opinion and order in their stead.

On August 31, 2020, relators Gustavo Garcia-Siller, Archbishop of the Archdiocese of San Antonio, and Acting on Behalf of the Archdiocese of San Antonio, and Anita Valencia, as Independent Administrator of the Estate of Virgilio Elizondo, filed a petition for writ of mandamus

¹ This proceeding arises out of Cause No. 2015-CI-08589, styled *John Doe v. Roman Catholic Archdiocese of San Antonio, by and through the Apostolic Administrator and Archbishop Gustavo Garcia-Siller and Archbishop Emeritus Patrick Flores, their predecessors and successors, as Archbishop of the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of San Antonio, Father Jesus Armando Dominguez, the Estate of Father Virgilio Elizondo, Deceased; Bishop Gerald R. Barnes, his predecessors and successors, as Bishop of the Diocese of San Bernardino, in its assumed or common name; the Roman Catholic Bishop of San Bernardino, a Corporate sole aka Diocese of San Bernardino*, pending in the 131st Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas, the Honorable Karen H. Pozza presiding.

and motion requesting emergency relief seeking to stay a trial court's order compelling the production of certain documents. After considering the petition and the record, this court concludes relators are not entitled to the relief sought. Accordingly, the petition for writ of mandamus is denied. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(a).

PER CURIAM