



Fourth Court of Appeals
San Antonio, Texas

MEMORANDUM OPINION

No. 04-21-00317-CV

In the Interest of **J.M.E.**, C.J.V., T.A.W., R.A.C., and S.S.H., Children

From the 166th Judicial District Court, Bexar County, Texas
Trial Court No. 2021PA00277
Honorable Susan D. Reed, Judge Presiding

PER CURIAM

Sitting: Irene Rios, Justice
Beth Watkins, Justice
Liza A. Rodriguez, Justice

Delivered and Filed: October 20, 2021

DISMISSED FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION

L.M.W. attempts to appeal the trial court's August 21, 2021 interlocutory order terminating her parental rights to her children. The order is interlocutory because it does not dispose of all of the pending parties and claims in the underlying parental termination case.

This court has jurisdiction over appeals from final judgments and certain types of interlocutory orders that have been designated by the Legislature. *C.R.D. v. Tex. Dep't of Family & Protective Servs.*, Nos. 03-19-00561-CV, 03-19-00562-CV, 2019 WL 4281929, at *1 (Tex. App.—Austin Sept. 11, 2019, no pet.) (mem. op.). An order is final for purposes of appeal if it disposes of all pending parties and claims in the case. *Lehmann v. Har-Con Corp.*, 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001). This court does not have jurisdiction over appeals from interlocutory orders in parental termination cases. *See C.R.D.*, 2019 WL 4281929, at *1 (dismissing appeals for lack of

jurisdiction because the trial court's interlocutory order only disposed of the mother's parental rights and the claims regarding the parental rights of the other parties remained pending); *In re F.M.-T.*, No. 02-12-00522-CV, 2013 WL 1337789, at *1 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth Apr. 4, 2013, no pet.) (mem. op.) (dismissing appeal for lack of jurisdiction when the trial court's interlocutory termination order did not dispose of one parent's rights as to one child).

On September 8, 2021, we ordered L.M.W. to show cause, on or before September 24, 2021, why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. L.M.W. did not file a response. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM