

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-10-00257-CR

COY WILLIAMS III, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 252nd District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 92221

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Coy Williams III pled guilty to engaging in organized criminal activity. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Williams guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Williams on community supervision for five years, and assessed a fine of \$1000. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Williams’s unadjudicated community supervision. Williams pled “true” to three violations of the conditions of his community supervision. The trial court found that Williams violated the conditions of his community supervision, found Williams

guilty of engaging in organized criminal activity, and assessed punishment at two years of confinement in a state jail facility.

Williams's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On October 21, 2010, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant. We reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.¹

AFFIRMED.

DAVID GAULTNEY
Justice

Submitted on February 09, 2011
Opinion Delivered February 23, 2011
Do not publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.

¹Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.