

**In The**  
***Court of Appeals***  
***Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont***

---

**NO. 09-10-00352-CR**

---

**SHEENA DERISE HARMON, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 252nd District Court**  
**Jefferson County, Texas**  
**Trial Cause No. 09-06287**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Sheena Derise Harmon pleaded guilty to felony theft. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Harmon guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Harmon on community supervision for two years, and assessed a fine of \$500. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Harmon's unadjudicated community supervision. Harmon entered pleas of "true" to two violations of the conditions of her community supervision. The trial court found that Harmon violated the conditions of her community supervision, found Harmon guilty of felony theft, and assessed punishment at two years of confinement in a state jail facility.

Harmon's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On December 2, 2010, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant. We reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.<sup>1</sup>

AFFIRMED.

---

STEVE McKEITHEN  
Chief Justice

Submitted on March 22, 2011  
Opinion Delivered March 23, 2011  
Do not publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.

---

<sup>1</sup>Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.