

**In The**  
***Court of Appeals***  
***Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont***

---

**NO. 09-10-00380-CR**

---

**KADEEM JAMAL CEASAR a/k/a KADEEM JAMAL DECQUIR, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 252nd District Court  
Jefferson County, Texas  
Trial Cause No. 08-06133**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Kadeem Jamal Ceasar a/k/a Kadeem Jamal Decquir pled guilty to robbery. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Ceasar guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Ceasar on community supervision for seven years, and assessed a fine of \$750. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Ceasar's unadjudicated community supervision. Ceasar pled "true" to three violations of the conditions of his community supervision. The trial court found that Ceasar violated the conditions of his community supervision, found Ceasar guilty of robbery, and assessed punishment at eleven years of confinement.

Cesar's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On November 18, 2010, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant. We reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.<sup>1</sup>

AFFIRMED.

---

STEVE McKEITHEN  
Chief Justice

Submitted on February 15, 2011  
Opinion Delivered February 23, 2011  
Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Kreger and Horton, JJ.

---

<sup>1</sup> Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.