

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-10-00454-CR

TRESTON QUINN VALLIER, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 252nd District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 07-02028

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Treston Quinn Vallier pled guilty to burglary of a habitation. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Vallier guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Vallier on community supervision for five years, and assessed a fine of \$1000. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Vallier’s unadjudicated community supervision. Vallier pled “true” to two violations of the conditions of his community supervision. The trial court found that Vallier violated

the conditions of his community supervision, found Vallier guilty of burglary of a habitation, and assessed punishment at fifteen years of confinement.

Vallier's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On December 16, 2010, we granted an extension of time for Vallier to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from Vallier. We reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.¹

AFFIRMED.

HOLLIS HORTON
Justice

Submitted on April 29, 2011
Opinion Delivered May 18, 2011
Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Kreger and Horton, JJ.

¹Vallier may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.