

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-10-00468-CR

DEREK RANDALL GRANDCHAMPT, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 252nd District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 94753

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Derek Randall Grandchampt pleaded guilty to burglary of a habitation. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Grandchampt guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Grandchampt on community supervision for five years, and assessed a fine of \$1000. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Grandchampt's unadjudicated community supervision. Grandchampt pleaded "true" to four violations of the conditions of his community supervision. The trial court found that Grandchampt violated the conditions

of his community supervision, found Grandchamp guilty of burglary of a habitation, and assessed punishment at seven years of confinement.

Grandchamp's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). We granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received a response from appellant. We reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.¹

AFFIRMED.

DAVID GAULTNEY
Justice

Submitted on April 6, 2011
Opinion Delivered April 27, 2011
Do Not Publish

Before Gaultney, Kreger, and Horton, JJ.

¹Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See Tex. R. App. P. 68.*