

**In The**  
***Court of Appeals***  
***Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont***

---

**NO. 09-10-00498-CR**

---

**BOBBY WAYNE BROWN a/k/a BOBBY W. BROWN**  
**a/k/a BOBBY BROWN, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 252nd District Court**  
**Jefferson County, Texas**  
**Trial Cause No. 09-06450**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Bobby Wayne Brown a/k/a Bobby W. Brown a/k/a Bobby Brown pleaded guilty to forgery. The trial court found Brown guilty and assessed punishment at two years of confinement in a state jail facility, then suspended imposition of sentence, placed Brown on community supervision for five years, and assessed a fine of \$1000. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Brown's community supervision. Brown pleaded "true" to four violations of the terms of the community supervision order. The trial court found that Brown violated the terms of

the community supervision order, revoked Brown's community supervision, and imposed a sentence of two years of confinement in a state jail facility.

Brown's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On January 6, 2011, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from the appellant.

We have reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.<sup>1</sup>

AFFIRMED.

---

STEVE McKEITHEN  
Chief Justice

Submitted on April 6, 2011  
Opinion Delivered April 27, 2011  
Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.

---

<sup>1</sup> Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.