

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-10-00532-CR
NO. 09-10-00533-CR

DARRICK L. ANDERSON A/K/A DARRICK LAMON ANDERSON
A/K/A DERRICK LEMON ANDERSON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 252nd District Court
Jefferson County, Texas
Trial Cause Nos. 97754 and 07-00022

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Pursuant to plea agreements, Darrick L. Anderson pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance and to felony theft. In both cause numbers, the trial court deferred adjudication of his guilt and placed him on unadjudicated community supervision for ten years. After the State filed a motion to revoke in each case, the trial court adjudicated his guilt. Anderson was sentenced to twelve years in prison for the offense of possession of a controlled substance and twelve years in prison for the offense of theft with prior felony convictions.

Appellate counsel filed an *Anders* brief in each case that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and that concludes there are no arguable grounds of error. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 741-42, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). Anderson subsequently filed a *pro se* response. The Court of Criminal Appeals has explained that an appellate court may determine in an *Anders* case either (1) "that the appeal is wholly frivolous and issue an opinion explaining that it has reviewed the record and finds no reversible error"; or (2) "that arguable grounds for appeal exist and remand the cause to the trial court so that new counsel may be appointed to brief the issues." *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). In each case, we have reviewed the clerk's record, the reporter's record, and the *pro se* responses, and we agree with counsel that no arguable issues support an appeal. *Id.* We find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *See id; compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991).

We affirm the trial court's judgments in trial cause number 97754 and cause number 07-00022.

AFFIRMED.

DAVID GAULTNEY
Justice

Submitted on August 8, 2011
Opinion Delivered August 24, 2011
Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.