

**In The**  
***Court of Appeals***  
***Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont***

---

**NO. 09-10-00535-CR**

---

**JEREMY JALIL WALKER, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the Criminal District Court  
Jefferson County, Texas  
Trial Cause No. 99294**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Jeremy Jalil Walker pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Walker guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Walker on community supervision for five years, and assessed a fine of \$750. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Walker's unadjudicated community supervision. Walker pleaded "true" to two violations of the conditions of his community supervision. The trial court found

that Walker had violated the conditions of his community supervision, found Walker guilty of possession of a controlled substance, and assessed punishment at two years of confinement.

Walker's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On January 20, 2011, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from appellant. We have reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.<sup>1</sup>

AFFIRMED.

---

CHARLES KREGER  
Justice

Submitted on April 29, 2011  
Opinion Delivered May 11, 2011  
Do not publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Kreger and Horton, JJ.

---

<sup>1</sup>Appellant may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See Tex. R. App. P. 68.*