In The

Court of Appeals

Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-10-00591-CV

CORBRIDGE GROUP, INC., Appellant

V.

TRAVANA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION AND TIMOTHY R. ADAMS, Appellees

On Appeal from the 284th District Court Montgomery County, Texas Trial Cause No. 09-11-11199-CV

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On January 3, 2011, we notified the parties that this accelerated appeal would be dismissed for want of prosecution unless arrangements were made for filing the clerk's record or the appellant explained why it needed additional time for filing the record. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 37.3(b). On January 21, 2011, we notified the parties that the appeal would be dismissed unless the appellant remitted the filing fee for the appeal. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 5. Appellant did not respond to either notice.

Appellant did not pay the filing fee for the appeal and did not pay for the preparation of a clerk's record. Appellant neither filed an affidavit of inability to pay costs on appeal nor asserted that it is entitled to proceed without payment of costs. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 20.1. There being no satisfactory explanation for the appellant's failure to pursue the appeal after having been given notice and opportunity to cure, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 42.3.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

STEVE McKEITHEN
Chief Justice

Opinion Delivered February 24, 2011

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.