

**In The**  
***Court of Appeals***  
***Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont***

---

**NO. 09-11-00281-CR**

---

**RICHARD LEE COLEMAN, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 252nd District Court  
Jefferson County, Texas  
Trial Cause No. 08-02682**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, appellant Richard Lee Coleman<sup>1</sup> pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance. The trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Coleman guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Coleman on community supervision for two years, and assessed a fine of \$500. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Coleman’s unadjudicated community supervision. Coleman pleaded “true” to six violations of the conditions of his community supervision.

---

<sup>1</sup> In the indictment, Coleman’s name is listed as “Richard Lee Coleman.” In the judgment, Coleman’s name is listed as “Richard Lee Coleman aka Richard Lee Coleman [sic] aka Josh aka Mickins[.]”

The trial court found that Coleman violated the conditions of his community supervision, found Coleman guilty of possession of a controlled substance, and assessed punishment at two years of confinement in a state jail facility.

Coleman's appellate counsel filed a brief that presents counsel's professional evaluation of the record and concludes the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On July 21, 2011, we granted an extension of time for appellant to file a *pro se* brief. We received no response from the appellant.

We have reviewed the appellate record, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support an appeal. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeal. *Compare Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgment.<sup>2</sup>

AFFIRMED.

---

STEVE McKEITHEN  
Chief Justice

Submitted on October 27, 2011  
Opinion Delivered November 9, 2011  
Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Horton, JJ.

---

<sup>2</sup> Coleman may challenge our decision in this case by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.