

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-12-00405-CV

IN RE COMMITMENT OF TRAVIS JOHNSON

On Appeal from the 435th District Court
Montgomery County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 03-05-03489 CV

MEMORANDUM OPINION

In an appeal from an order entered in a sexually-violent-predator proceeding modifying the terms of a commitment order, changing the entity that approves where Travis Johnson must reside, we questioned our appellate jurisdiction over such order. Upon consideration of the statute and the responses of the parties, we conclude that the trial court's order is not appealable, and we also conclude that mandamus relief on the issues Johnson raises is not warranted. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

Recently, in *In re Commitment of Cortez*, we addressed the same issues as are set forth in Johnson's brief and concluded that we did not have appellate jurisdiction over these same issues. No. 09-12-00385-CV, 2013 WL 3270613, at *2 (Tex. App.—Beaumont June 27, 2013, no pet. h.). We also considered whether Cortez raised issues entitling him to mandamus relief. *See id.* at **2-6.

For the same reasons stated in *Cortez*, we conclude that we lack appellate jurisdiction to review the trial court's order dated July 26, 2012, and that Johnson has not demonstrated that he is entitled to mandamus relief. Accordingly, we dismiss Johnson's appeal.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

CHARLES KREGER
Justice

Submitted on July 10, 2013
Opinion Delivered August 15, 2013

Before McKeithen, C.J., Kreger, and Horton, JJ.