#### In The

## Court of Appeals

# Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-13-00053-CR

**GAVIN BLADES, Appellant** 

V.

### THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 5
Montgomery County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 12-277932

#### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Gavin Blades filed a notice of appeal from the denial of a motion to dismiss an information. We questioned our jurisdiction, and the State filed a response suggesting that no appealable order has been signed. Blades filed a response, but failed to establish that the ruling about which he complains may be appealed at this time.

In this state, an appeal in a criminal case must be specifically authorized by statute, and a court of appeals lacks jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders

unless that jurisdiction has been expressly granted by law. *Apolinar v. State*, 820 S.W.2d 792, 794 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). Blades argues the trial court's order effectively denied him entry into a pretrial diversion program, and he suggests the order is appealable in the same manner as a deferred adjudication community supervision order. *See, e.g., Dillehey v. State*, 815 S.W.2d 623, 626 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). Blades has not shown that the trial court signed a deferred adjudication order. *See generally* Tex. Code Crim. Proc. Ann. art. 42.12, § 5 (West Supp. 2012). The appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

CHARLES KREGER
Justice

Opinion Delivered March 13, 2013 Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Gaultney and Kreger, JJ.