### In The

# Court of Appeals

## Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-16-00100-CR NO. 09-16-00101-CR

**DAVID JAMES BROWN, Appellant** 

V.

### THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 5 Montgomery County, Texas Trial Cause Nos. 14-295457, 14-295560

### **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement in two separate cases involving the same victim, appellant David James Brown pleaded guilty to the misdemeanor offense of indecent exposure. In each case, the trial court found the evidence sufficient to find Brown guilty, but deferred further proceedings, placed Brown on community supervision for two years, and assessed a fine of \$1000. The State subsequently filed a motion to revoke Brown's unadjudicated community supervision in each case. In both cases, Brown pleaded "not true" to nine violations of the conditions of his community supervision. The trial court

conducted an evidentiary hearing, and in each case, the trial court found that Brown violated several conditions of his community supervision, found Brown guilty of indecent exposure, and assessed punishment at 150 days in jail. The trial court ordered that Brown's sentences were to run concurrently.

Brown's appellate counsel filed briefs that present counsel's professional evaluation of the record and conclude the appeals are frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978). On September 6, 2016, we granted an extension of time for Brown to file *pro se* briefs. We received no response from Brown in either case.

We have reviewed the appellate records, and we agree with counsel's conclusion that no arguable issues support the appeals. Therefore, we find it unnecessary to order appointment of new counsel to re-brief the appeals. *Cf. Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We affirm the trial court's judgments of conviction.<sup>1</sup>

AFFIRMED.

STEVE McKEITHEN
Chief Justice

Submitted on December 12, 2016 Opinion Delivered January 18, 2017 Do Not Publish

Before McKeithen, C.J., Kreger and Horton, J.J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Brown may challenge our decision in these cases by filing a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.