

In The
Court of Appeals
Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

NO. 09-19-00166-CV

IN RE S.E. AND M.E.

Original Proceeding
County Court at Law No. 1 of Montgomery County, Texas
Trial Cause No. 19-02-03054-CV

MEMORANDUM OPINION

In this original mandamus proceeding, S.E. and M.E. challenge the order appointing the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services as the temporary managing conservator of their minor children. *See generally* Tex. Fam. Code Ann. § 262.201(j) (West Supp. 2018). They argue: (1) the order is void because M.E. filed a timely objection to the assignment of the retired judge who signed the order; (2) insufficient evidence supports the conservatorship order; (3) the trial court violated S.E.'s due process rights by refusing to allow her to exceed the one-hour limit, per party, that the trial court imposed during the adversary hearing; and (4) the trial court

violated S.E.'s due process rights by refusing to allow S.E. to cross-examine the witnesses to support her offer of proof. Based on the record before us, we conclude that the relators have not shown they are entitled to relief. *See Walker v. Packer*, 827 S.W.2d 833, 839-40 (Tex. 1992) (orig. proceeding). Accordingly, we deny the petition for a writ of mandamus and the motion for temporary relief. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 52.8(a).

PETITION DENIED.

PER CURIAM

Submitted on June 3, 2019
Opinion Delivered June 3, 2019

Before McKeithen, C.J., Kreger and Horton, JJ.