#### In The

# Court of Appeals

### Ninth District of Texas at Beaumont

\_\_\_\_\_

NO.09-23-00081-CV

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### ELIJAH W. RATCLIFF, Appellant

V.

## WESLEY RATCLIFF AND JOHN RATCLIFF, Appellees

## On Appeal from the 258th District Court Polk County, Texas Trial Cause No. CIV22-0073

#### MEMORANDUM OPINION

Elijah W. Ratcliff filed a notice of accelerated appeal from the trial court's order denying Ratcliff's motion for summary judgment, which the trial court signed on March 14, 2023. We questioned whether the order was a final judgment or an interlocutory order that is appealable as an accelerated appeal. Appellant filed a response, but his response failed to identify a statute that authorizes an accelerated appeal in this instance.

Generally, in civil cases appellate courts review only final judgments and interlocutory orders specifically made appealable by statute. *Lehmann v. Har-Con Corp.*, 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001). An appeal from an interlocutory order may proceed as an accelerated appeal "when allowed by statute[.]" *See* Tex. R. App. P. 28.1. We dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction. *See id.* 42.3(a), 43.2(f).

APPEAL DISMISSED.

PER CURIAM

Submitted on May 10, 2023 Opinion Delivered May 11, 2023

Before Golemon, C.J., Horton and Johnson, JJ.