



**COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH**

NO. 02-12-00327-CV

IN RE ERIC RANDALL HINKLE

RELATOR

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

The court has considered relator’s petition for writ of mandamus and is of the opinion that the petition should be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. See *Ater v. Eighth Court of Appeals*, 802 S.W.2d 241, 243 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991) (holding that the court of criminal appeals is the “only court with jurisdiction in final post-conviction felony proceedings”); *In re McAfee*, 53 S.W.3d 715, 717 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2001, no pet.) (“[W]hile the courts of appeals have mandamus jurisdiction in criminal matters, only the Texas Court of Criminal

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4, 52.8(d).

Appeals has jurisdiction in final post-conviction felony proceedings.”).
Accordingly, relator’s petition is dismissed for want of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

PANEL: LIVINGSTON, C.J.; DAUPHINOT and WALKER, JJ.

DELIVERED: August 13, 2012