

COURT OF APPEALS SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH

NO. 02-12-00403-CV

Michael J. Boyzuick and Garda Security Inc.	§	From the 393rd District Court of
	§	Denton County (2012-60975-393)
V.	§	December 6, 2012
Brink's Incorporated	§	Per Curiam

JUDGMENT

This court has considered the record on appeal in this case and holds that the appeal should be dismissed. It is ordered that the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction.

SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

PER CURIAM



COURT OF APPEALS SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH

NO. 02-12-00403-CV

MICHAEL J. BOYZUICK AND GARDA SECURITY INC.

APPELLANTS AND APPELLEES

٧.

BRINK'S INCORPORATED

APPELLEE AND APPELLANT

FROM THE 393RD DISTRICT COURT OF DENTON COUNTY

MEMORANDUM OPINION1

Appellants Michael J. Boyzuick and Garda Security Inc. filed a notice of accelerated appeal from the trial court's September 20, 2012 order granting a temporary injunction for Appellee Brink's Incorporated. See Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. § 51.014(a)(4) (West 2008 & Supp. 2012). Subsequently,

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

Boyzuick and Garda filed a motion for partial summary judgment, and the trial

court granted it, modifying the temporary injunction order. On November 13,

2012, Brink's filed a motion for review of the modified temporary injunction order

in this court, arguing that because the order that had been the basis of Boyzuick

and Garda's appeal had been changed, the appeal was moot. Boyzuick and

Garda did not file a response to the motion, but Brink's amended certificate of

conference indicated that Boyzuick and Garda were unopposed to the motion.

"Appeals of some interlocutory orders become moot because the orders

have been rendered moot by subsequent orders." Hernandez v. Ebrom, 289

S.W.3d 316, 319 (Tex. 2009). And, as here, if the controversy at issue ceases to

exist, the case becomes moot. See Clawson v. Crosby ISD, No. 14-11-00532-

CV, 2012 WL 4757927, at *1, 3 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] Oct. 4, 2012, no

pet. h.) (mem. op.) (dismissing appeal for want of jurisdiction when there were no

issues in controversy in the appeal). We therefore dismiss the appeal of the

original temporary injunction order only, without prejudice to the appeal, if any, of

the subsequently modified temporary injunction order. See Richards v. Mena,

820 S.W.2d 372, 372 (Tex. 1991); see also Tex. R. App. P. 43.2(f).

PER CURIAM

PANEL: MCCOY, MEIER, and GABRIEL, JJ.

DELIVERED: December 6, 2012

3