

COURT OF APPEALS SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH

NO. 02-12-00506-CR

TRINA BISHOP APPELLANT

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS STATE

FROM THE 211TH DISTRICT COURT OF DENTON COUNTY

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

Appellant Trina Bishop appeals her conviction for state-jail felony theft upon the revocation of her deferred adjudication community supervision.

Bishop's court-appointed appellate counsel has filed a motion to withdraw as counsel and a brief in support of that motion. Counsel's brief and motion meet the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds for relief. 386

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396 (1967). Bishop had the opportunity to file a pro se brief

but did not do so; the State has not filed a brief.

Once an appellant's court-appointed attorney files a motion to withdraw on

the ground that the appeal is frivolous and fulfills the requirements of *Anders*, this

court is obligated to undertake an independent examination of the record. See

Stafford v. State, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); Mays v. State,

904 S.W.2d 920, 922-23 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1995, no pet.). Only then may

we grant counsel's motion to withdraw. See Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75, 82-

83, 109 S. Ct. 346, 351 (1988).

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief. We agree with

counsel that this appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit; we find nothing in

the record that might arguably support the appeal. See Bledsoe v. State, 178

S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005); see also Meza v. State, 206 S.W.3d

684, 685 n.6 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006). Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to

withdraw and affirm the trial court's judgment.

BOB MCCOY JUSTICE

PANEL: MCCOY, GARDNER, and WALKER, JJ.

DO NOT PUBLISH

Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

DELIVERED: June 20, 2013

2