

## COURT OF APPEALS

## SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH

NO. 02-15-00451-CR

PHILLIP SAMUEL MCELROY

**APPELLANT** 

٧.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

STATE

-----

FROM CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT NO. 1 OF TARRANT COUNTY TRIAL COURT NO. 1386019D

-----

## MEMORANDUM OPINION<sup>1</sup>

-----

Phillip Samuel McElroy appeals from his conviction and thirty-five year sentence upon an open plea of guilty to the offense of unlawful possession of a firearm, enhanced by two habitual offender paragraphs to which he pled true. See Tex. Penal Code Ann. § 12.42(d) (West Supp. 2016), § 46.04(a), (e) (West 2011). We affirm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

Appellant's court-appointed appellate counsel has filed a motion to withdraw as counsel and a brief in support of that motion. In the brief, counsel avers that the appeal is frivolous. Counsel's brief and motion meet the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds for relief. 386 U.S. 738, 744–45, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 1400 (1967); see *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 406–12 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008) (orig. proceeding). We gave appellant an opportunity to file a pro se brief, but he did not do so. The State declined to file a brief.

Once an appellant's court-appointed attorney files a motion to withdraw on the ground that the appeal is frivolous and fulfills the requirements of *Anders*, we must independently examine the record. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *Alexander v. State*, 301 S.W.3d 361, 363 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2009, no pet.). Only then may we grant counsel's motion to withdraw. *See Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 82–83, 109 S. Ct. 346, 351 (1988).

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief. We agree with counsel that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit; we find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005); *see also Meza v. State*, 206 S.W.3d 684, 685 n.6 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006). Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to

withdraw and affirm the trial court's judgment.

## PER CURIAM

PANEL: LIVINGSTON, C.J.; MEIER and GABRIEL, JJ.

DO NOT PUBLISH Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

DELIVERED: December 8, 2016