

COURT OF APPEALS SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH

NO. 02-16-00116-CR

CARLOS ARTURO SANCHEZ

APPELLANT

٧.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

STATE

FROM THE 372ND DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT COUNTY TRIAL COURT NO. 1422793D

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

A grand jury indicted Appellant Carlos Arturo Sanchez for one count of possession of a controlled substance in the amount of one gram or more but less than four grams. See Tex. Health & Safety Code Ann. § 481.115(c) (West 2010). The indictment contained a habitual offender paragraph alleging that Sanchez had two prior felony convictions, the second of which was for an offense that he

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

committed after his conviction for the first felony had become final. See Tex. Penal Code Ann. § 12.42(d) (West Supp. 2016). Sanchez and the State reached a plea bargain agreement whereby Sanchez agreed to plead guilty to the possession charge, and in exchange, the State waived the habitual-offender allegations and recommended that the trial court sentence Sanchez to six years' confinement. On February 12, 2016, Sanchez pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance in the amount of one gram or more but less than four grams, and in accordance with the plea bargain agreement, the trial court sentenced him to six years' confinement. The trial court certified that Sanchez had no right to appeal. See Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(a)(2).

On March 17, 2016, Sanchez filed a pro se notice of appeal in the trial court. See Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(c). We notified Sanchez and his trial counsel that our review of the record revealed that the trial court certified that he had no right to appeal. We further stated that we would dismiss the appeal unless Sanchez or any party desiring to continue the appeal filed a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal no later than July 29, 2016. See Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(d), 44.3. Our notice to Sanchez was returned because he had been moved to a different unit. So on July 29, 2016, we notified Sanchez at his new address, as well as his trial counsel, that we would dismiss the appeal unless Sanchez or any party desiring to continue the appeal filed a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal no later than August 8, 2016.

We have not received any response showing grounds for continuing this

appeal. The record does not show that Sanchez's sentence exceeded the

State's recommendations, that Sanchez desires to appeal a matter that was

raised by written motion filed and ruled on before trial, or that the trial court

granted Sanchez permission to appeal. See Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(a)(2). Thus, in

accordance with the trial court's certification, we dismiss this appeal. See Tex.

R. App. P. 25.2(d), 43.2(f); Chavez v. State, 183 S.W.3d 675, 680 (Tex. Crim.

App. 2006).

/s/ Lee Gabriel

LEE GABRIEL JUSTICE

PANEL: LIVINGSTON, C.J.; GABRIEL and SUDDERTH, JJ.

DO NOT PUBLISH

Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

DELIVERED: August 25, 2016

3