



**COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH**

NO. 02-17-00242-CV

MERIE YAZBEK AND JOSEPH
YAMMINE, CEDAR PAINT & BODY,
CEDAR AUTO SALES, JW
CONSTRUCTION, JW
CONSTRUCTION STORAGE, AND
DIAMOND HILL WRECKER
SERVICE

APPELLANTS

V.

FORT WORTH TRANSPORTATION
AUTHORITY

APPELLEE

FROM COUNTY COURT AT LAW NO. 3 OF TARRANT COUNTY
TRIAL COURT NO. 2016-006915-3

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

Appellant Merie Yazbek attempts to appeal from an “Award of Special Commissioners” signed on June 30, 2017. On July 27, 2017, we sent a letter to

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

Yazbek expressing our concern that we may not have jurisdiction over her appeal because the award does not appear to be a final judgment or appealable interlocutory order. See *Lehmann v. Har-Con Corp.*, 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001) (explaining that “the general rule, with a few mostly statutory exceptions, is that an appeal may be taken only from a final judgment”). We stated that unless Yazbek or any party desiring to continue the appeal filed a response showing grounds for continuing this appeal by August 7, 2017, her appeal could be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a), 44.3. Yazbek requested an extension of time to file a response, which we granted. We did not receive any response. Accordingly, we dismiss Yazbek’s appeal for want of jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a), 43.2(f).

Appellants Joseph Yammine, Cedar Paint & Body, Cedar Auto Sales, JW Construction, JW Construction Storage, and Diamond Hill Wrecker Service (Appellants) attempt to appeal from an “Order on Motion to Modify or Abate Writ of Possession” signed on August 24, 2017, and an “Order Denying Motion to Extend Time to Vacate or Abate Writ of Possession” signed on September 1, 2017. On September 29, 2017, we sent a letter to Appellants expressing our concern that we may not have jurisdiction over their appeal because the orders do not appear to be final judgments or appealable interlocutory orders. See *Lehmann*, 39 S.W.3d at 195. We stated that unless Appellants or any party desiring to continue this appeal filed a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal by October 9, 2017, their appeal could be dismissed for want of

jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a), 44.3. We did not receive any response. Accordingly, we dismiss Appellants' appeal for want of jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a), 43.2(f).

PER CURIAM

PANEL: WALKER, MEIER, and GABRIEL, JJ.

DELIVERED: November 9, 2017